

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

SW

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/626,821 07/23/2003 Justin Hoffman DAREDEV.126A 2545 20995 7590 12/01/2004 **EXAMINER** KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP PATEL, TAJASH D 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER IRVINE, CA 92614 3765

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,821	HOFFMAN, JUSTIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tejash D Patel	3765
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/2/3/	<u>′03</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed a policant may not request that any objection to the orange of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the orange of the correction of	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/626,821 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-11, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DiCesare et al. (US 5,617,580). DiCesare et al. (hereinafter DiCesare) discloses a protective leg member (10) including a front (12), a first side being an inner leg side and a second side as shown in figure 3. Also, a padding segment (36) is disposed on the first side as shown in figure 2. Further, a low friction surface treatment includes a plurality of low friction portions/layers (110, 112, 114, 116 and 118) being disposed on the first side padding segment of the leg protector that is slidable over a dry playing surface, col. 8, lines 3-30 and as shown in figure 7. Additionally, the leg protector includes a cover (50) with a low friction surface treatment including a layer portion attached thereto, col. 8, lines 3-9 and it is inherent that the layer portion has a lower friction than the cover. In addition, the second side of the protector does not have a low friction surface treatment as shown in figure 3. The first side is configured to lay substantially flat on a playing surface as shown also in figure 3. Furthermore, a low friction

Art Unit: 3765

surface treatment is disposed in a spaced relationship on a portion of a knee area and lower leg of the first side as shown in figures 3 and 7. Also, the low friction portions are movable by hook and loop fasteners (102, 104) as shown in figure 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 6, 12 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiCesare.

With regard to claim 5, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to recognize that the low friction treatment can slide over a high impact polypropylene surface or any other dry surface as required for a particular application thereof.

Art Unit: 3765

With regard to claim 12, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to extend the low friction surface of DiCesare in a single layer from the knee area to the leg area in order to make the device cost effective.

With regard to claims 6, 20 and 21, it would have been obvious that the coefficient of friction of the first side, second side and the front can be varied as required for a particular application thereof or end use thereof.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

November 17, 2004

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER